

Isolating and Estimating Undifferenced GPS Integer Ambiguities

Paul Collins Geodetic Survey Division, Natural Resources Canada

Institute of Navigation, National Technical Meeting January 28-30, 2008; San Diego, California







- Undifferenced Ambiguity Resolution has been an elusive goal in GPS processing.
- Recent techniques have been introduced that appear to "show the way". (All aspects addressed?)
- Concurrently, there have been on-going investigations into the so-called "code-biases".
- The goal of the presentation is to show how:
 - the "standard model" of undifferenced ionosphere-free observables is sub-optimal; and
 - rigorous modelling of code biases facilitates estimation of integer ambiguities from undifferenced observables.



Canada



Standard Observation Equations

$$\begin{split} C_{1} &= \rho + T + I + c(dt^{r} - dt^{s}) + b_{C1}^{r} - b_{C1}^{s} + \varepsilon_{C1} \\ P_{1} &= \rho + T + I + c(dt^{r} - dt^{s}) + b_{P1}^{r} - b_{P1}^{s} + \varepsilon_{P1} \\ P_{2} &= \rho + T + q^{2}I + c(dt^{r} - dt^{s}) + b_{P2}^{r} - b_{P2}^{s} + \varepsilon_{P2} \\ \lambda_{1}(\Phi_{1} + N_{1}) &= L_{1} = \rho + T - I + c(dt^{r} - dt^{s}) + b_{L1}^{r} - b_{L1}^{s} + \varepsilon_{L1} \\ \lambda_{2}(\Phi_{2} + N_{2}) &= L_{2} = \rho + T - q^{2}I + c(dt^{r} - dt^{s}) + b_{L2}^{r} - b_{L2}^{s} + \varepsilon_{L2} \end{split}$$

- distinguish between geometric and non-geometric (timing) parameters
- *b*^{*} represent synchronisation errors between measurements – codes and phases measured <u>separately</u>
- understanding their role is crucial to isolating integer ambiguities from undifferenced carrier phases







Standard Observable Model Re-assessed

$$P_{3} = \rho + T + c(dt^{r} - dt^{s}) + b_{P3}^{r} - b_{P3}^{s} + \varepsilon_{P3}$$
$$L_{3} = \rho + T + c(dt^{r} - dt^{s}) + b_{L3}^{r} - b_{L3}^{s} - \lambda_{3}N_{3} + \varepsilon_{L3}$$

singular due to functionally identical clocks & biases

 by combining code clock and bias parameters and retaining common oscillators:

$$P_3 \equiv \rho + T + c(dt_{P3}^r - dt_{P3}^s) + \varepsilon_{P3}$$
$$L_3 \equiv \rho + T + c(dt_{P3}^r - dt_{P3}^s) + A_{P3} + \varepsilon_{L3}$$

where $A_{P3} = b_{L3}^r - b_{P3}^r - b_{L3}^s + b_{P3}^s - \lambda_3 N_3$

• hence, even if b_{L3}^* known, ambiguities are not isolated





Pseudorange Clock Datum

- Because each carrier phase is uniquely ambiguous, the pseudoranges provide the datum for the clock solutions.
- Implication:
 - A change in dual-frequency pseudoranges manifests itself in estimated clocks <u>and</u> ambiguities.
- Example:
 - Compute P1-C1 bias from 2 standard model solutions:

$$P_{3} = f(P_{1}, P_{2})$$

$$P_{3'} = f(C_{1}, P_{2'}) \text{ where } P_{2'} = C_{1} + (P_{2} - P_{1})$$

$$dt_{P3}^{s} - dt_{P3'}^{s} = b_{P1-C1}^{s} = A_{P3} - A_{P3'} - b_{P1-C1}^{r}$$







Natural Canada





Day of Week (GPS 1409)

Natural Resources Ressources naturelles Canada





YELL Residuals – P3 Obs. & Avg.







Decoupled Clock Model

Problem:

- Apparent code and phase oscillator measures are significantly different.
- Solution:
 - Decouple the code and phase clocks:

$$P_{3} = \rho + T + c(dt_{P3}^{r} - dt_{P3}^{s}) + \varepsilon_{P3}$$
$$L_{3} = \rho + T + c(dt_{P3}^{r} - dt_{P3}^{s}) - \lambda_{3}N_{3} + \varepsilon_{P3}$$

- No assumptions about bias 'stability' required.
 Implication:
 - Pseudorange datum removed from carrier phase.
 - Replace with <u>Ambiguity Datums</u>.





Ambiguity Datum Fixing

- One ambiguity per phase clock fixed, less one
- One phase clock fixed as the network datum
 - Identical concept to fixing the 'reference clock' in standard model network processing
 - One code clock fixed also
- Ambiguity can be fixed to arbitrary integer value
 - acts as Partial Integer Constraint
 - remaining ambiguities are integer!
- Phase clock estimates are integer ambiguous with respect to the code clock estimates.





Relationship to Goad Model

Goad, C.C. (1985). "Precise Relative Position Determination Using **Global Positioning System Carrier Phase Measurements in a Nondifference Mode**." Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Precise Positioning with the Global Positioning System. US Dept. of Commerce. Rockville, Maryland, April 15-19. Vol. 1, pp. 347- $\Phi_1^1(t) = \dot{G}_1^1(t) / \lambda + B_1^1(t)$ $\Phi_{1}^{1}(t) = G_{1}^{1}(t) / \lambda + B_{1}^{1}(t)$ $\Phi_{1}^{2}(t) = G_{1}^{2}(t) / \lambda + B_{1}^{2}(t)$ network datum $\Phi_1^2(t) = G_1^2(t) / \lambda + B_1^2(t)$ $\Phi_2^1(t) = G_2^1(t) / \lambda + B_{21}(t) + B_1^1(t)$ $\Phi_{2}^{1}(t) = G_{2}^{1}(t) / \lambda + B_{2}^{1}(t)$ $\Phi_2^2(t) = G_2^2(t) / \lambda + N_{12}^{12} + B_2^1(t) + B_1^2(t) - B_1^1(t) \quad \Phi_2^2(t) = G_2^2(t) / \lambda + B_{21}(t) + N_{12}^{12} + B_1^2(t)$ base-station-base-satellite \rightarrow datum fixing 4 observations : 4 clk/amb unknowns when G(t) known

G(t): a-priori values or pseudorange estimates





Extended Model

- Problem:
 - λ_{IF}(L1,L2) ≈6mm. (Note: λ_{IF}(L2,L5) ≈12cm!)
- Implication:
 - Intermediate step required for L1,L2 processing
- Solution:
 - Melbourne-Wübbena combination for WL

$$A_4 = L_4 - P_5 = b_{A4}^r - b_{A4}^s - \lambda_4 N_4 + \varepsilon_{A4}$$

- b_{A4}^* are <u>not</u> constant 'delta-clocks'
- Ambiguity Datum fixing
- Processed simultaneously with P_3 and L_3
- With WL fixed, $\lambda_{IF}(L1,L2) = \lambda_{NL} \approx 11 \text{cm}$





YELL P3 & L3 Average Residuals







Station Clock Parameter Estimates 8 YELL-AMC2 Decoupled Clock Estimates 6 4 2 0 🔋 -2 -4 -6 -8 2 5 0 1 3 4 6

Day of week (GPS 1409) —— widelane 'bias' —— mg-phs clock ……… IGS Rapid —— phase clock

Rapid/phase clock de-trended RMS = 0.08ns/0.02m





Satellite Clock Parameter Estimates



Rapid/phase clock de-trended RMS = 0.17ns/0.05m





Widelane Ambiguities – Float & Fixed WL Ambiguities for YELL (cy) 2 1.5 (GO) 1 • 0.5 Ambiguities 0 -0.5 - 1 -1.5 -2 00:00 03:00 06:00 09:00 12:00 15:00 18:00 21:00 00:00 Hour-of-Day (006,2007) WL Ambiguities for YELL (cy) 2 1.5 (GO) 1 0.5 Ambiguities 0 -0.5 - 1 -1.5 -2 00:00 03:00 06:00 09:00 12:00 15:00 18:00 21:00 00:00

Hour-of-Day (006,2007)





Narrowlane Ambiguities – Float & Fixed NL Ambiguities for YELL (cy) 2 1.5 (ch) 1 0.5 Ambiguities 0 -0.5 - ' -1.5 -2 21:00 00:00 03:00 06:00 09:00 12:00 15:00 18:00 00:00 Hour-of-Day (006,2007) NL Ambiguities for YELL (cy) 2 1.5 (GO) 1 0.5 Ambiguities 0 - 6 -0.5 - 1

\$\$

15:00

18:00

21:00

00:00

Canada 17

12:00

Hour-of-Day (006,2007)

-1.5

-2 00:00

Natural Resources

Canada

03:00

06:00

Ressources naturelles

Canada

09:00







Implications for PPP — Summary

Each observable requires a satellite 'clock' parameter:

- dt_{P3}, dt_{L3}, b_{A4}
- as well as satellite X, Y, Z coordinates.
- In practice $(dt_{P3}-dt_{L3})$ and b_{A4} variations may allow transmission as 'slow' corrections.
- Standard Ambiguity Resolution Techniques (e.g. LAMBDA) become applicable to PPP.
- PPP-AR becomes possible in principle.
- ALL predicated on good orbits! (IGS Rapid here)





Conclusions

- Synchronisation of code and phase measurements is significantly different.
- The Standard Model allows the pseudorange biases to directly interfere with the carrier phase biases.
- The Decoupled Clock Model provides:
 - unambiguous, but imprecise code clock estimates
 - precise, but ambiguous phase clock estimates
 - integer ambiguities.
- Extended Model required for L1, L2 processing.
- Provides a path for PPP-AR in a very generic way
 - extension of generic LS, no a-priori bias assumptions.



Canada

